Rule DAS152: QUEUING WAS PROBABLY CAUSED BY A SINGLE **APPLICATION**

Finding: Less than half of the I/O queuing to the device was explained using a

queuing model. Consequently, CPExpert believes that the seeking probably was caused by a single application, rather than by independent

applications.

This finding may have a MEDIUM IMPACT or HIGH IMPACT on the Impact:

> performance of the device. If the finding is correct, then actions directed to the specific application could result in significant performance

improvements.

Logic flow: The following rules cause this rule to be invoked:

DAS100: Volume with the worst overall performance

DAS150: Queuing was the major cause of response delay

Discussion: CPExpert uses a M/M/1 queuing model to calculate an estimated queue time for each measurement interval being analyzed. The underlying assumptions of the model are exponential interarrival times, exponential service distributions, and an infinite population. If device activity occurs in this way, the queuing model can predict the expected queuing delays.

> If the queuing delay as measured by RMF is significantly different from the estimated delay from the model, it would be clear that the activity did not occur in a random fashion, and most likely the cause of the difference would be that the interarrival times are not randomly distributed.

> This was the case with the volume being analyzed. Consequently, CPExpert concludes that the activity was caused by a single application accessing the device. Note that there could be more than one application accessing the device, but if their access patterns were not random with respect to each other, then this conclusion would still be valid.

Suggestion: The most improvement for this pack would likely result from (1) separating the files to different packs, (2) rearranging the files within the pack, (3) tuning the file structure (for example, compressing a shared partitioned data set (PDS), or (4) examining the application doing most of the I/O.

> You can identify the files with the most activity in one of several ways, depending upon the volume and the application involved. The method you

select should depend upon the availability of information and tools in your environment.

- Discuss the file activity with applications personnel or systems personnel (depending upon the volume). If knowledgeable personnel are available, this is often the quickest and easiest way to determine how to solve the problems. Once a file access problem has been brought to their attention, applications or systems personnel often can easily decide upon a good solution.
- Use an exit available in MXG or in MICS to select Type 30(DD) information just for the volume. The Type 30(DD) information is rarely retained in a performance data base because it is so voluminous. However, you easily can code an exit in MXG or MICS to select the Type 30(DD) information for a specific volume. The amount of data selected would not be too large in most cases.
- If CPExpert is performing "expanded" analysis, Rule DAS180 will provide information regarding the applications referencing the volume. The results from this rule should be examined to determine which applications are candidates for actions.